## Approved For Release 2002 1 REA RDP81-00706R000300110043-7

## SECURITY INFORMATION

OFFICIAL ACTION ON
TABLES OF CONTENTS VERSUS PERIODICAL ABSTRACTS
OF SOVIET SCIENTIFIC PERIODICALS

2 April 1947	"Periodical Abstracts" initiated, covering all fields.
6 August 1947	Periodical Abstracts (Scientific) first issued as a separate volume.
26 December 1947	PRC approved a project for a complete bibliography of Soviet periodicals to be undertaken by 00 to fulfill ORE requirements (bibliography of Soviet periodicals to be undertaken by 00 to fulfill OPE requirements (bibliography to consist of translation of tables of contents of all Soviet periodicals, including scientific and technical received in CIA).
14 January 1948	Requirements received from ORE.
12 May 1948	First "Bibliographies" issued (delay due to arguments about FDD's capabilities, priorities, etc.). Published as an unclassified publication without indication of CIA origin.
June 1948	State Department said to have requested "Bibliographies": memo not found
5 April 1949	Reproduction and dissemination of the FDD Bibliographies by the Library of Congress (as part of their Monthly List of Russian Accessions) authorized by the Executive of CIA.
18 April 1949	Official arrangement with the Library of Congress signed by the DCI (FDD to provide typewritten drafts of translated tables of contents to the Library of Congress. This system continued until 23 February 1950, see below) publication of separate "Bibliographies" by CIA/FDD suspended.
30 November 1949 25X1A	
23 February 1950	PRC turns down OCD proposal, and indicates that the Monthly List is of general public interest rather than "an intelligence function that CIA would be obligated to perform."
24 February 1950	Executive of CIA officially informs Librarian of Congress that:
25X1A	a. demands on our linguists are such that "we must cease providing translations as of this date."
Late 1950	Question was raised whether Periodical Abstracts (Scientific) best served the purposes of scientific intelligence consumers and OSI was asked to survey the Scientific Intelligence Committee to find out.
26 December 1950	OSI reports to AD/O
	"The members of the SIC have indicated unanimously that a translated list of titles of all scientific and technical items in Russian periodicals would be preferable to the publication 'Periodical Abstracts." The agencies represented in this agreement are: Army, Navy, Air Force, AEC and CIA."
18 January 1951	FDD suspends publication of Periodical Abstracts on 18 January 1951 with issue No. 166.
8 February 1951	Chief, Intelligence Division, for AC of S-G-2, Department of Army, objects to discontinuance of Periodical Abstracts, saying:
	"Although it has been stated that a 'Title' list will be published covering generally the same material formerly covered by the

'Periodical Abstracts,' it is felt that the interests of G-2 cannot be served adequately by this substitution. Likewise, the intelligence interests of the Technical Services of the Department of the Army are not adequately supplied by such a 'Title' list."

Says ONI and A-2 also want abstracts on electronics.

9 February 1951

AD/O sends AD/SI a study on "Publication of Tables of Contents of Soviet Periodicals" with enormous lists and appendices, showing that:

- a. Total of 87 Soviet periodicals of value to scientific intelligence are received in U.S.
- b. Translations of tables of contents of 80 of these being published in three available English publications issued by;
  - 1. Brookhaven National Laboratory

25X6

- 2. Army Medical Library
- c. These three publications don't present material in most convenient form, and don't use standard transliteration system, but titles are well-translated.
  - d. Possible solutions to "table of contents" needs:
    - 1. Wider dissemination of three publications above.
  - 2. Reassembly, retransliteration, publication and dissemination of these three in a more convenient form (could be done by OSI or FDD).
  - 3. Publication by FDD (independently) of a more standardized "table of contents"—would require three linguists.
- 17 February 1951

AD/CD replies to G-2, saying Periodical Abstracts will be resumed and a survey of IAC agencies will be undertaken to see what they want in the way of abstracts, title lists, etc.

- 23 February 1951
- FDD resumes publication of Periodical Abstracts with issue No. 167.
- 5 April 1951

AD/CD officially asks IAC agencies what they want, outlining the background of the problem (Appendix A), indicating present form of exploitation and possible alternatives (Appendix B). Specifically requests survey of all scientific and technical units in each Agency and of consumers under any "secondary dissemination."

- "a. Whether our present methods of exploitation are satisfactory and should be continued unchanged.
- b. What changes in these present methods would make them fultill the needs of a majority of the using agencies more adequately.
- c. What additional methods should be undertaken (within personnel limitations) to meet the unfulfilled common needs of more than one using agency.
- d. Which of the alternative methods of exploitation should be undertaken at the expense of present activities (i.e., whether publication of a "Title List" should be substituted for "Periodical Abstracts," or whether they should both be dropped and the effort expended on other types of exploitation as indicated in Appendix B.."

30 April 1951

G-2 replies that "present methods of exploitation are satisfactory and should be continued unchanged." Lists subject fields to be covered by Periodical Abstracts

1 May 1951

ONI replies that:

a. any modification should be in the direction of "fulfilling requests for specific subject searches and translations."

- b. publication of tables of contents would be a duplication, but FDD should perhaps cover the few titles not included in listings from Brookhaven, etc.
  - c. Periodical Abstracts should be continued.
- 19 June 1951
- State replies that it is not a "user," since it has no scientific units as such, but that it:
  - a. gets the Soviet periodicals for everyone.
- b. believes that research and industrial needs should be served as well as intelligence needs.
- c. suggests greater use of Chemical Abstracts and similar services or possible public dissemination of FDD abstracts perhaps through Office of Technical Services (Commerce).
- 9 August 1951
- AEC replies that "present method of exploitation of foreign documents is satisfactory to us."
- 17 October 1951
- A-2 replies that present methods are satisfactory, no changes or additional methods seem to be necessary, Periodical Abstracts should be continued. Also lists fields which Periodical Abstracts should cover. Says Air Targets Division has special requirements, and would like part of the effort now spent on Periodical Abstracts used for preparing "short well-documented reports on specialized subjects in response to specific requests."

SECURITY INFOPMATION		
PART II - Events and Projects related directly or indirectly to the Periodical Abstracts problems.		
6 May 1948	Informal arrangement between FDD and Chemical Abstracts to avoid duplication in abstracting.	
30 December 1948	Upgrading of classification of material from Soviet publications. Periodical Abstracts (Scientific) made SECRET on cover; individual cards not classified when cut up and separated from cover.	
կ November 19կ9	Meeting between OSI and OO/FDD on abstracting problems (as a result of OSI publication of a paper "Abstracting services as an intelligence tool for assessing Soviet chemical research"—which said that a time-lag of 9 to 17 months between appearance of an article and its English abstracting did not matter). Decision reached that:	
25X1C		
	b. OSI divisions to supply FDD with lists of journals to be covered by $\ensuremath{\mathtt{FDD}}_{\bullet}$	
13 January 1950	OSI Project	
25X1A	approved. Information to be developed from Soviet periodicals and semi-evaluated by individual abstractors.	
25X1A	(Project was continued in 1951, but it is believed to have had inadequate results and to have been discontinued).	
23 October 1951	OSI, informally present paper to AD/O on "Russian	
25X1A	Overt Material and the way to its maximum exploitation indicating that present methods are completely unsatisfactory and proposing a Center, staffed with qualified DP's and defectors to study the literature and produce summaries and semi-evaluated studies. Paper discussed by Chief, FDD and returned tofor presentation to AD/SI and possible presentation of a project.	
Early fall 1951	Librarian of Congress proposes to DCI: 25X1A	
	a. Change in format of Monthly List—to include subject, indexing and translation of titles of tables of contents—new arrangement to cover 200 periodicals.	
25X1A	b. Reorganization of Slavic Union Catalogue—to include trans- lated book titles and new cards.	
25X1A	c. More comprehensive coverage of participating libraries holdings of Soviet literature.	
10 October 1951	OSI publishes CIA/SI 77-SI "A Listing of Russian Periodic Publications since 1939" (including lists of political, historical and other non-scientific categories of serial publications).	
29 October 1951	AD/O suggests that OSI should coordinate such efforts with FDD and says Library of Congress just covered the same field, listing 6000 titles in "Serial Publications of the USSR."	
4 December 1951	AD/SI replies that the publication was started by while working in FDD and finished after his transfer to OSI. Adds that it doesn't really duplicate the Library of Congress listing, and that the latter had only 3,349 titles, the other "entries representing very good cross-referencing."	

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/09/04: CIA-RDP81-00706R000300110043-7

TEP TIRES

SECURITY INFORMATION

25X1A

Around or before 1 January 1952

DCI approves Library of Congress project:

(New format and arrangement of Monthly List
to appear with March issue which will probably come out on 1 April!)
Economic Intelligence Committee backed the project; OCD Librarian to

monitor it.

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/09/04 : CIA-RDP81 00706R000300110043-7 FORM NO. 55-5 NOV. 1950 INTERNAL ROUTING AND RECORD SLIP Office of Operations FROM: TO: NALE Mr. Carey 25X1A 25X1A 25X1A - but his had access to Fig 3. Believe that the OO position at a) 80 of 87 littles are already covered by non-CIA Rublications; satisfactory to TELAK. 25X1A